Using Embodied AI to help answer "why" questions in systems neuroscience

Aran Nayebi K. Lisa Yang ICoN Postdoctoral Fellow McGovern Institute

CBMM Research Meeting 2023.09.19



^{CENTER FOR} Brains Minds+ Machines





Similar predictivities among very different CNN architectures



Similar predictivities among very different CNN architectures



Schrimpf*, Kubilius* et al. 2018

Similar predictivities between CNNs vs. Transformers



Similar predictivities between CNNs vs. Transformers



Similar predictivities between CNNs vs. Transformers











Scene Understanding



Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



Navigation



Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



Navigation



Flexible Embodiment



Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



What are the core design principles that give rise to these abilities? Navigation Flexible Embodiment





Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



How do we bridge the gap from neurons to behavior? Navigation Flexible Embodiment





Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



Navigation



Flexible Embodiment



Scene Understanding



Multi-Step Planning



Navigation



Flexible Embodiment



- Mouse Visual Cortex as a Task-General, Limited Resource System
- Reusable Latent Representations for Primate Mental Simulation
- Heuristics for Interrogating Natural Intelligence



 <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)



Core object recognition

Flexible Embodiment

 $\mathbf{A} = architecture class$

"Circuit"

 $\mathbf{T} = task loss$

3. "Ecological niche/behavior"



 <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)

2. <u>Hypothesize</u> architectures and tasks (loss functions).





Neuroscience

constrained

"Circuit"



3. "Ecological niche/behavior"



Cognitive science constrained

 <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)

2. <u>Hypothesize</u> architectures and tasks (loss functions).



 $\mathbf{A} = architecture class$

"Circuit"

- $\mathbf{T} = task loss$
- **3**."Ecological niche/behavior"



- <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)
- 2. <u>Hypothesize</u> architectures and tasks (loss functions). <u>Optimize</u> for task (evolution *in silico*).



 $\mathbf{A} = architecture class$

"Circuit"

- $\mathbf{T} = task loss$
- **3**."Ecological niche/behavior"



- <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)
- <u>Hypothesize</u> architectures and tasks (loss functions).
 <u>Optimize</u> for task (evolution *in silico*).
 <u>Predict held out</u> neural & behavioral data.



 $\mathbf{A} = architecture class$

"Circuit"

T = task loss

3."Ecological niche/behavior"



- <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)
- <u>Hypothesize</u> architectures and tasks (loss functions).
 <u>Optimize</u> for task (evolution *in silico*).
 <u>Predict held out</u> neural & behavioral data.

<u>3. Core Conceptual Insights:</u> <u>Identify</u> the patterns of the best architectures & tasks.



A = architecture class

"Circuit"

T = task loss

3 "Ecological niche/behavior"



 <u>Operationalize</u> a behavioral domain of interest.
 Ways in which brains surpass current engineered systems? (e.g. performance, size, energy, etc)

<u>Hypothesize</u> architectures and tasks (loss functions).
 <u>Optimize</u> for task (evolution *in silico*).
 <u>Predict held out</u> neural & behavioral data.

<u>3. Core Conceptual Insights:</u>

<u>Identify</u> the patterns of the best architectures & tasks.

A structural & functional normative understanding of the evolutionary pressures of the biological system to produce the behavior in (1).



- Mouse Visual Cortex as a Task-General, Limited Resource System
- Reusable Latent Representations for Primate Mental Simulation
- Heuristics for Interrogating Natural Intelligence

Mouse Visual Cortex as a Task-General, Limited Resource System

T = task loss

3. "Ecological niche/behavior"



A. Nayebi*, N. Kong*, C. Zhuang, J.L. Gardner, A.M. Norcia, D.L.K. Yamins

Mouse visual cortex as a limited resource system that self-learns an ecologically-general representation. PLOS Computational Biology 2023 (in press)







Chengxu Zhuang

Justin L. Gardner





Anthony M. Norcia

Daniel Yamins



= architecture class

"Circuit"

Anatomical differences between mouse and primate visual systems



Anatomical differences between mouse and primate visual systems



Anatomical differences between mouse and primate visual systems



Initial deep neural network models of mouse visual cortex



Deep models are a poor match to responses



Deep models suggest mouse visual cortex is representationally deep



Goal-Driven Models of Mouse Visual Cortex





Neurobiological Puzzle:

What are the core differences between mouse visual cortex and the primate ventral stream?



Putting it all together: Circuit, Inputs, Behavior



Putting it all together: Circuit, Inputs, Behavior



Putting it all together: Circuit, Inputs, Behavior


Putting it all together: Circuit, Inputs, Behavior



Substantially improving neural response predictivity of models of mouse visual cortex



Substantially improving neural response predictivity of models of mouse visual cortex



Distilling Constraints: Circuit



Architectural Choices



More Connectome-Inspired Architectures?



More Connectome-Inspired Architectures?



More Connectome-Inspired Architectures?

















Depth as a Macroscale Architectural Constraint



Depth as a Macroscale Architectural Constraint: Shallower models suffice



... unlike in primates!



Distilling Constraints: Environment





Prusky et al., 2000; Kiorpes, 2019



Prusky et al., 2000; Kiorpes, 2019







Lower resolution improves neural predictivity





Supervised Losses

Supervised Losses

ImageNet Challenge

IM A GENET

- 1,000 object classes (categories).
- Images:
 - 1.2 M train
 - 100k test.



Typical setting: supervision with (1000) category labels ...but is very "unnatural" for mice!

Both the type and number of categories, is unrealistic for mice

0.3

Shark

Puffer Fish

Cat

Snake

neuropixe

Supervised Losses

ImageNet Challenge

IM 🗛 GENET

- 1,000 object classes (categories).
- Images:
 - 1.2 M train
 - 100k test.

mite container ship motor scooter leopard mite container sh scoote black widow go-kart lifeboat iagua cheetal cockroac mope fireboat bumper car tic now leopar starfis ng platfor golfcar Egyptian ca grille mushroom cherry Madagascar cat aga arille mushroom grap elderberry pickup ielly fungus gill fungus bullterrie beach wago indr fire engin curra

Most Supervision (1000 classes)

Less Supervision (10 object classes)

automobile

bird

cat

deer

dog

airplane

CIFAR-10

frog horse

truck

hors ship

Supervised Losses

ImageNet Challenge

IM 🗛 GENET

- 1,000 object classes (categories).
- Images:
 - 1.2 M train
 - 100k test.





Depth Map Prediction (Visual proxy for whisking) PBRNet (500K images)

automobile

bird

cat

deer

dog

airplane

CIFAR-10

frog horse ship

truck

Self-supervised Losses

Self-supervised Losses



Self-supervised Losses



Predict Image Rotations (RotNet, Gidaris et al. 2018)









 90° rotation

 270° rotation

 180° rotation

 0° rotation

 270° rotation

Self-supervised Losses



CNN: Convolutional Neural Network, MLP: Multi-Layer Perceptron

Self-supervised Losses



CNN: Convolutional Neural Network, MLP: Multi-Layer Perceptron

Make the embeddings of <u>different</u> views of the same image to be similar, while pushing them apart from different images

Self-supervised > Supervised Losses





Self-supervised > Supervised Losses




Contrastive losses are overall the best self-supervised loss



Contrastive losses are overall the best self-supervised loss



Contrastive losses outperform purely behaviorally-driven loss



What is the ecological reason to prefer self-supervision?

ImageNet categorization performance **NOT** correlated with neural predictivity



ImageNet categorization performance **NOT** correlated with neural predictivity







Biomechanical Model

Train ImageNet









Maze Environment



Reward-Based Navigation

Evaluate



Visual Scene Understanding



Identity



- z axis rotation
- y axis rotation
- x axis rotation



Perimeter: 78 pix Two-dimensional retinal area: 146 pix

Three-dimensional object scale: 1.2×



Texture



position: 80 pix

Vertical position: -6 pix



Horizontal

Schematic of Virtual Rodent



Biomechanical Model

(Merel*, Aldorando*, Marshal* et al. 2020)

Navigation task in "Rodent Mazes" environment



Requires keeping track of history over long timescales with highdimensional, continuous inputs

Navigation task in "Rodent Mazes" environment



Requires keeping track of history over long timescales with highdimensional, continuous inputs





Maze Environment







Identity



Horizontal position: 80 pix

Vertical position: -6 pix

Object properties

z axis rotation

Visual Scene Understanding

x axis rotation

y axis rotation

Perimeter: 78 pix

Two-dimensional retinal area: 146 pix

Three-dimensional

object scale: 1.2×





Texture

ter transfer performentation Model



Maze Environment





Category



Visual Scene Understanding

z axis rotation

x axis rotation

y axis rotation

Perimeter: 78 pix

Two-dimensional retinal area: 146 pix

Three-dimensional

object scale: 1.2×







position: 80 pix Vertical position: -6 pix

Horizontal

Object properties

ter transfer performandar Model









Inter-animal Consistency

Do the contrastive methods that task generalize best, also match the neurons better?









Takeaways





Neurobiological Puzzle:

What are the core differences between mouse visual cortex and the primate ventral stream?







T = task loss
3. "Ecological niche/behavior"
self-supervised

Neurobiological Puzzle:

What are the core differences between mouse visual cortex and the primate ventral stream?

Findings:

Mouse visual cortex is a general-purpose system utilizing its limited resources to perform a variety of visual tasks.

In contrast to the deep, high-resolution, and more categorizationdominated primate ventral stream.

low resolution



D = data stream

- Mouse Visual Cortex as a Task-General, Limited Resource System
- Reusable Latent Representations for Primate Mental Simulation
- Heuristics for Interrogating Natural Intelligence

Reusable Latent Representations for Primate Mental Simulation





A. Nayebi, R. Rajalingham, M. Jazayeri, G.R. Yang

Neural foundations of mental simulation: future prediction of latent representations on dynamic scenes. arXiv:2305.11772



Rishi Rajalingham



Mehrdad Jazayeri



Guangyu Robert Yang

















Motivation

PRO-SER

H+ CO. LOI

cotà

R

Predict: Are these stacks stable?

F 32803

Predict: Will this box support me?

100

LODGE

I DE LEBERTO



The Nature of Explanation

My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943)



Kenneth Craik

The Nature of Explanation

My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

<u>Craik (1943)</u>: The brain builds **mental models** of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via **mental simulations.**



Kenneth Craik

The Nature of Explanation

My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

<u>Craik (1943):</u> The brain builds **mental models** of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via **mental simulations.**

—Pre-dates the modern computer!



Kenneth Craik

The Mental Simulation Hypothesis: Behavioral Evidence

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.
The Mental Simulation Hypothesis: Behavioral Evidence

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.



Intuitive Physics Engine (IPE) can match human physical judgements







Peter Battaglia

Jessica Hamrick

Joshua Tenenbaum

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.

The Brain's "Physics Engine"



Fronto-Parietal Network









Ioshua Tenenbaum

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.

The Brain's "Physics Engine"

A network of brain regions recruited by physical inferences (Fischer et al. 2016)







Fischer et al. 2016





Ioshua Tenenbaum

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.

The Brain's "Physics Engine"

- A network of brain regions recruited by physical inferences (Fischer et al. 2016)
- Contains information about mass (Schwettmann et al. 2019)



Fronto-Parietal Network





Schwettmann et al. 2019

Ioshua Tenenbaum

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.

The Brain's "Physics Engine"

- A network of brain regions recruited by physical inferences (Fischer et al. 2016)
- Contains information about mass (Schwettmann et al. 2019
- Contains information about physical stability (Pramod et al. 2022)



Fronto-Parietal Network





Schwettmann et al. 2019

Ioshua Tenenbaum

The Mental Simulation Hypothesis: Primate Electrophysiological Evidence

The Nature of Explanation My hypothesis then is that thought models, or parallels, reality – that its essential feature is not 'the mind', 'the self', 'sense-data', nor propositions but symbolism, and that this symbolism is largely of the same kind as that which is familiar to us in mechanical devices which aid thought and calculation...

If the organism carries a 'small-scale model' of external reality and of its own possible actions within its head, it is able to try out various alternatives, conclude which is the best of them, react to future situations before they arise, utilize the knowledge of past events in dealing with the present and future, and in every way to react in a much fuller, safer, and more competent manner to the emergencies which face it.

Craik (1943): The brain builds mental models of the external physical world, that support physical inferences via mental simulations.

2. Intuitive Physics Engine — 3. Outputs 1. Inputs Will it fall? Which direction? Simulation Scene (t+1) - - - -Scene (t) Scene (t+n) В Probabilistic IPE 1.0 normalized) .0 .0 .0 .0 ∽ 5_0.4 Human 7.0 Fall —> 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.2 0.4 Model (avg. proportion fallen) Battaglia, Hamrick, Tenenbaum 2013 physics color Fischer et al. 2016 Pramod et al. 2022 > physical social

Schwettmann et al. 2019





Rishi Rajalingham



The Mental Simulation Hypothesis: Primate Electrophysiological Evidence



The role of mental simulation in primate physical inference abilities

Rishi Rajalingham, Aida Piccato, D Mehrdad Jazayeri
doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.14.426741



Fronto-Parietal Network

Dynamic tracking of objects in the macaque dorsomedial frontal cortex

Rishi Rajalingham,
 Hansem Sohn, Mehrdad Jazayeri
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.24.497529





Mehrdad Jazayeri



Schwettmann et al. 2019

The Mental Simulation Hypothesis: Primate Electrophysiological Evidence



Neural Mechanisms of Mental Simulation



Neurobiological Puzzle: What are the neural mechanisms that enable us to predict the future state of our environment *across* diverse settings?



Rishi Rajalingham



Fischer et al. 2016

Pramod et al. 2022

um 2013



Defining Hypotheses

R1 (Input-Driven): Take in unstructured visual inputs across a range of physical phenomena.

R1 (Input-Driven): Take in unstructured visual inputs across a range of physical phenomena.

R2 (Behavioral Outputs): Generate physical predictions for each scenario ("behavior").

R1 (Input-Driven): Take in unstructured visual inputs across a range of physical phenomena.

R2 (Behavioral Outputs): Generate physical predictions for each scenario ("behavior").

R3 (Neural Representations): Consist of internal units that can be compared to biological units (e.g. containing "artificial neurons").

Defining Hypotheses

= architecture class $\mathbf{T} = task loss$ 3. "Ecological niche/behavior" "Circuit" "Sensory-Cognitive Networks" **R1 (Input-Driven):** Take in unstructured visual inputs across a range of physical phenomena. **R2 (Behavioral Outputs):** Generate physical predictions for each scenario ("behavior"). **R3 (Neural Representations):** Consist of internal units that can be compared to biological units (e.g. containing "artificial neurons"). "Environment"

D = data stream

Overall Approach

Overall Approach: Training Datasets

(A) Model Pretraining

Inputs



Overall Approach: Training Datasets

Physion/ThreeD World (TDW)

Bear et al. 2021



Focus on everyday physical understanding





Daniel

Yamins



Daniel Bear

loshua

Tenenbaum

Judith Fan

Overall Approach: Training Datasets

Kinetics 700 Focus on human actions

Carreira et al. 2019, Kay et al. 2017



(a) headbanging



(c) shaking hands



(e) robot dancing







(b) stretching leg



(d) tickling



(f) salsa dancing



Overall Approach: Sensory-Cognitive Hypotheses











(A) Model Pretraining Sensory-Cognitive Hypothesis Classes Inputs Self-supervised on future frame prediction — can be readily **Physion** Support Dominoes applied to large-scale, real-world video datasets Predicts the future at the resolution of the sensory input (very detailed!) Drape Link B Ė **End-to-End Future Prediction: Pixel-wise** Encoder Decoder Babaeizadeh et al. 2021 LSTM ····· Skip Connection (+) Residual Input Squeeze and Excite Conv **(+)**. 256 256 3x3 цq цq Чq + + uq n n 512 512 512 512 256 256 512 512 128 256 256 512 512 128 128 128 128 256 256 64 256 256 64 **2**2 **2**2 (z_t, a_t) Frame Decoder

("Objective/Behavior")

Visual Encoder Dynamics Predictor ("Sensory") ("Cognitive")



(A) Model Pretraining



Predicts at the level of object representations and their relations

(A) Model Pretraining



Predicts at the level of object representations and their relations



Principles of Object Perception Elizabeth Spelke, 1990



Elizabeth Spelke

(A) Model Pretraining



Predicts at the level of object representations and their relations



(A) Model Pretraining



Predicts at the level of object representations and their relations







Learn a partial, *implicit* representation of the physical world by performing a challenging vision task (''foundation model'')



Learn a partial, *implicit* representation of the physical world by performing a challenging vision task (''foundation model'')

Emphasis on *reusability*!



CortexBench



Ego4D: everyday activity around the world

CortexBench

Ego4D: A massive-scale egocentric dataset

3,670 hours of in-the-wild daily life activity931 participants from 74 worldwide locationsMultimodal: audio, 3D scans, IMU, stereo, multi-camera

(A) Model Pretraining

Learn a partial, *implicit* representation of the physical world by performing a challenging vision task (''foundation model'')

Emphasis on *reusability*!

Overall Approach: Foundation Models + Dynamics

Learn a partial, *implicit* representation of the physical world by performing a challenging vision task (''foundation model'')

Emphasis on *reusability*!

Leverage these dynamics to do explicit physical simulation
Overall Approach

(A) Model Pretraining



Observed + **Simulated**

Overall Approach: Model Evaluations



Overall Approach: Model Evaluations (Human Behavior)



Overall Approach: Model Evaluations (Macaque Physiology)



Model Evaluations: Macaque Neurophysiology



Model Evaluations: Macaque Neurophysiology



Fronto-Parietal Network

Dorsomedial frontal cortex (DMFC)



Monkey P











- Data from two male adult monkeys
- 79 subsampled M-Pong conditions
- 64 channel v-probe (monkey P) and 384-channel Neuropixel probe (monkey M) •
- Total of 1889 stable & reliable neurons recorded from DMFC



Rishi Rajalingham





















Prior Results in Inferior Temporal (IT) Cortex











Best models approach ground truth state predictivity ceiling



Predicting neurons is relevant to simulating the ball



Predicting neurons is relevant to simulating the ball



Model Evaluations: Object Contact Prediction (OCP)

(A) Model Pretraining Sensory-Cognitive Hypothesis Classes **Ground Truth** Inputs Latent Future Prediction: 2. Dynamics Training Stage **Physion 1. Pretraining Stage** Support Dominoes Eqo4D, etc Ъ T+1 **Foundation Model** T+1 Т Drape Link **Prediction** \mathbb{Z} <u>=</u> **End-to-End Future Prediction: Pixel-wise** Encoder Decoder **Object-centric** Encoder 0 Time 💊 **Observed + Simulated** (B) Model Evaluations Human Behavior: Physion Object Contact Prediction (OCP) 1. 2. Macaque Neurophysiology: Mental-Pong DMFC **Observed Stimuli Unobserved Outcome** true label Time 🔪 stimulus last frame cue Yes/No? ample Scenarios NO acc. = 0.89 Feedbac YES . . . ccluded epocl (895±270 ms) acc. = 0.96 Observed epoch (1240±350 ms)

Model Evaluations: Object Contact Prediction (OCP)

Bear et al. 2021

"Will the agent object contact the patient object?"











Daniel Yamins

Judith Fan

Daniel Bear

Completion Progress





Is the red object going to hit the yellow area?

Dynamically Equipped Sensorimotor Foundation Models Can Match Both



Dynamically Equipped Sensorimotor Foundation Models Can Match Both



Takeaways



"Circuit"

T = task loss

3. "Ecological niche/behavior"



What are the neural mechanisms that enable us to predict the future state of our environment *across* diverse settings?

<u>Neurobiological Puzzle:</u>



Takeaways



T = task loss

3 "Ecological niche/behavior"

latent future prediction

Neurobiological Puzzle:

What are the neural mechanisms that enable us to predict the future state of our environment *across* diverse settings?

Findings:

Mental simulation appears to be primarily relevant to predicting the environment future state in a suitable, highly-constrained *latent* space.

Latent space does not appear to just consist of bespoke object slots or prioritize fine-grained details (e.g. at the level of pixels), but rather mainly has to be *reusable* across *dynamic* scenes.

egocentric videos

2. "Environment"**D** = data stream

Future Directions

Future Directions



Future Directions



1. **Sensory:** Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" *and* reusable representation:

1.

Sensory: Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" and reusable representation:


1. **Sensory:** Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" *and* reusable representation:



Sensory: Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" and reusable representation: object-centric, video foundation model?



Principles of Object Perception Elizabeth Spelke, 1990



Elizabeth Spelke

- Sensory: Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" and reusable representation: object-centric, video foundation model?
- 2. **Cognitive:** Hierarchy/modularization of timescales in dynamics?

- Sensory: Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" and reusable representation: object-centric, video foundation model?
- 2. **Cognitive:** Hierarchy/modularization of timescales in dynamics?

Hierarchical reasoning by neural circuits in the frontal cortex

MORTEZA SARAFYAZD D AND MEHRDAD JAZAYERI D Authors Info & Affiliations

SCIENCE • 17 May 2019 • Vol 364, Issue 6441 • <u>DOI: 10.1126/science.aav8911</u>



- Sensory: Better leverage temporal relationships to learn a more "factorized" and reusable representation: object-centric, video foundation model?
- 2. **Cognitive:** Hierarchy/modularization of timescales in dynamics?
- 3. **Data:** More complex 2D and 3D scenes/real world objects



- Mouse Visual Cortex as a Task-General, Limited Resource System
- Reusable Latent Representations for Primate Mental Simulation
- Heuristics for Interrogating Natural Intelligence

Incorporating Neuroscience Insights:

Incorporating AI Insights:

Incorporating Neuroscience Insights:

• Connectomics:

• Ethology:

Incorporating AI Insights:

Incorporating Neuroscience Insights:

- **Connectomics:** Not usually a 1-1 mapping from a connectome to a functional model, and easy to get wrong. Rather, the best model often requires an *iterative balance* of functional optimization with macroscale structural constraints (e.g. shallow vs. deep cortex).
- Ethology:

Incorporating AI Insights:

Incorporating Neuroscience Insights:

- **Connectomics:** Not usually a 1-1 mapping from a connectome to a functional model, and easy to get wrong. Rather, the best model often requires an *iterative balance* of functional optimization with macroscale structural constraints (e.g. shallow vs. deep cortex).
- **Ethology:** Ethology alone does not always give us the "correct" functional optimization target (e.g. for intermediate brain areas). Can be helpful to consider that the brain area is embodied in a larger cognitive agent.

Incorporating Al Insights:

Incorporating Neuroscience Insights:

- **Connectomics:** Not usually a 1-1 mapping from a connectome to a functional model, and easy to get wrong. Rather, the best model often requires an *iterative balance* of functional optimization with macroscale structural constraints (e.g. shallow vs. deep cortex).
- **Ethology:** Ethology alone does not always give us the "correct" functional optimization target (e.g. for intermediate brain areas). Can be helpful to consider that the brain area is embodied in a larger cognitive agent.

Incorporating Al Insights:

 End-to-end reinforcement learning (RL) does not seem to give us neurallyaligned visual systems in both rodents and primates.

Incorporating Neuroscience Insights:

- **Connectomics:** Not usually a 1-1 mapping from a connectome to a functional model, and easy to get wrong. Rather, the best model often requires an *iterative balance* of functional optimization with macroscale structural constraints (e.g. shallow vs. deep cortex).
- **Ethology:** Ethology alone does not always give us the "correct" functional optimization target (e.g. for intermediate brain areas). Can be helpful to consider that the brain area is embodied in a larger cognitive agent.

Incorporating Al Insights:

- End-to-end reinforcement learning (RL) does not seem to give us neurallyaligned visual systems in both rodents and primates.
- Suggests a possible functional modularization of optimization targets, with reusable SSL representations best matching visual areas overall.

Acknowledgements

Contact: <u>anayebi@mit.edu</u> <u>@aran_nayebi</u>



Nathan C.L. Kong



Chengxu Zhuang



Justin L. Gardner



Anthony M. Norcia



Daniel Yamins





Rishi Rajalingham



Mehrdad Jazayeri

MCGOVERN



Guangyu Robert Yang













brain+cognitive

sciences